## Approved For Release 2004/04/15: CIA-RDP82M00591R000300130011-4

## DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

SECOM-D-217 19 January 1977 STATINTL  MEMORANDUM FOR:  Special Assistant to the D/DCI/IC  FROM  Chairman  SUBJECT: Review of DCID 1/7  REFERENCE: Memo from for Chief, General Law Division, dated 23 November 1976, Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised 18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy, Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976. Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point. Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or STATINTL if I can be of further assistance.			
STATINTL  MEMORANDUM FOR:  Special Assistant to the D/DCI/IC  FROM  Chairman  SUBJECT: Review of DCID 1/7  REFERENCE: Memo from for Chief, General  Law Division, dated 23 November 1976,  Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised  18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy,  Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976.  Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point.  Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or STATINT		SECOM-D-2	17
Special Assistant to the D/DCI/IC  FROM:  Chairman  SUBJECT: Review of DCID 1/7  REFERENCE: Memo from for for Chief, General  Law Division, dated 23 November 1976,  Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised  18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy,  Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976.  Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point. Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or		19 January 1	
SUBJECT: Review of DCID 1/7  STATINTL  REFERENCE: Memo from for Chief, General Law Division, dated 23 November 1976, Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised 18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy, Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976. Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point. Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or  STATINTI	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Special Assistant to the D/DCI/IC	
REFERENCE: Memo from for Chief, General Law Division, dated 23 November 1976, Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised 18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy, Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976. Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point. Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or  STATINTI	FROM :		STATINTL
Law Division, dated 23 November 1976, Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Revised 18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy, Desirability and Clarity.  1. This memorandum is in response to reference which was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976. Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point. Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or	SUBJECT :	Review of DCID 1/7	STATINTL
was forwarded to me from your office on 27 December 1976.  Reference raises certain questions concerning DCID 1/7.  2. The legality and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not questioned by He does reflect some problem with the accuracy, but reaches no conclusion on this point.  Regarding clarity, he concludes "after long, and perhaps undue, agonizing" that DCID 1/7 is not a clear statement of a uniform policy for the control of dissemination of foreign intelligence.  3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or STATINTI	REFERENCE :	Law Division, dated 23 November 197 Subject: Review of DCID 1/7, As Rev 18 May 1976, As to Legality, Accuracy	6, ised
3. Referenced memorandum does contain a few points that should be given consideration at the time of the next review of the DCID. However, none are of such urgency to cause any changes at this time. Of the questions and problems we have encountered to date, none are in the areas commented upon by  4. I would be glad to get together with either you or STATINTL	was forwarded to me for Reference raises certal 2. The legality questioned by with the accuracy, but Regarding clarity, he can agonizing" that DCID 1	in questions concerning DCID 1/7.  and desirability of DCID 1/7 is not  He does reflect some problem reaches no conclusion on this point.  concludes "after long, and perhaps undue /7 is not a clear statement of a uniform	
I I	3. Referenced should be given consider DCID. However, none at this time. Of the quarto date, none are in the 4. I would be global should be given considerable should be given considerable should be global shoul	memorandum does contain a few points to eration at the time of the next review of to are of such urgency to cause any change estions and problems we have encountered areas commented upon by	STATINTL

STATINTL

**STATINTL**